CMO: Constrained optimization for convex functions

Eklavya Sharma

1 Convex function and convex constraints

Let's analyze the following problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x} & f(x) \\ \text{where} & c_{i}(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in I \\ & h_{j}(x) = 0 \quad \forall j \in I \end{array}$

Here f and c_i are convex and C^1 and h_j is linear, i.e. $h_j(x) = a_j^T x - b_j$.

1.1 Feasible region is a convex set

Lemma 1 (Homework). The set $S_i = \{x : c_i(x) \le 0\}$ is convex.

Lemma 2 (Homework). The set $S_j = \{x : h_j(x) = 0\}$ is convex.

Lemma 3 (Homework). The intersection of convex sets is convex.

1.2 KKT point gives global minimum

Define the Lagrangian as

 $L(x, \lambda, \mu) = f(x) + \lambda^T c(x) + \mu^T h(x)$

Lemma 4. If $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and x is a feasible point, then $f(x) \geq L(x, \mu, \lambda)$.

Proof. Since x is a feasible point,

$$c_i(x) \le 0 \land h_j(x) = 0$$

$$\implies \lambda^T c(x) \le 0 \land \mu^T h(x) = 0$$

$$\implies f(x) + \lambda^T c(x) + \mu^T h(x) \le f(x)$$

$$\implies L(x, \lambda, \mu) \le f(x)$$

Lemma 5. Let (x^*, λ^*, μ^*) be a KKT point. Then $f(x^*) = L(x^*, \mu^*, \lambda^*)$.

Proof.

$$\lambda_i^* c_i(x^*) = 0 \land h_j(x^*) = 0 \qquad \text{(complementary slackness and primal feasibility)} \\ \implies \lambda^{*T} c(x^*) = 0 \land \mu^{*T} h(x^*) = 0 \\ \implies f(x^*) + \lambda^{*T} c(x^*) + \mu^{*T} h(x^*) = f(x^*) \\ \implies L(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) = f(x)$$

Theorem 6 (Proved previously). Let f be C^1 and convex. Then

$$\forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d, f(v) \ge f(u) + \nabla_f(u)^T (v - u)$$

Theorem 7. Let (x^*, λ^*, μ^*) be a KKT point. Then x^* is a constrained global minimum of f.

Proof. Let x be a feasible point.

$$f(x) \ge L(x, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$$
 (by lemma 4)

$$= f(x) + \sum_i \lambda_i^* c_i(x) + \sum_j \mu_j^* (a_j^T x - b_j)
\ge (f(x) + \nabla_f (x^*)^T (x - x^*))
+ \sum_i \lambda_i^* (c_i(x^*) + \nabla_{c_i} (x^*)^T (x - x^*))
+ \sum_j \mu_j^* (a_j^T (x - x^*) + (a_j^T x^* - b_j))$$
 (by theorem 6)

$$= \left(f(x^*) + \sum_i \lambda_i^* c_i(x^*) + \sum_j \mu_j^* (a_j^T x^* - b_j) \right)
+ (x - x^*)^T \left(\nabla_f (x^*) + \sum_i \lambda_i^* \nabla_{c_i} (x^*) + \sum_j \mu_j^* a_j \right)$$
 (rearrange terms)

$$= L(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) + (x - x^*)^T (\nabla_x L)(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*)
= f(x^*)$$
 (by lemma 5 and stationarity)

Since for all feasible points $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$, x^* is a constrained global minimum of f. \Box Note that unlike the necessary conditions for local minimum, here we do not require

Note that unlike the necessary conditions for local minimum, here we do not requiregularity.

1.3 Example: Projection over ball

Consider the optimization problem:

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} \|x - z\|^2 \text{ where } \|x\|^2 \le r^2$$

Here z lies outside the feasible region.

 $||x - z||^2$ and $||x||^2$ are convex functions (because their hessian is 2*I*, which is positive definite), so this is a convex optimization problem.

$$L(x,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - z\|^2 + \lambda(\|x\|^2 - r^2)$$

Applying the KKT conditions, we get

- Stationarity: $z = (2\lambda + 1)x$.
- Primal feasibility: $||x||^2 \le r^2$.
- Dual feasibility: $\lambda \ge 0$.
- Complementary slackness: $\lambda(||x||^2 r^2) = 0.$

If we take $\lambda = 0$, then stationarity gives us x = z. This violates feasibility, so this is not possible. Therefore, complementary slackness gives us $||x||^2 = r^2$. On simplifying, we get

$$x = \frac{r}{\|z\|} z \qquad \qquad \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|z\|}{r} - 1 \right) \qquad \qquad f(x) = \frac{1}{2} (r - \|z\|)^2$$