

CMO: Minimizing a quadratic function

Eklavya Sharma

In many search algorithms, given the current point x , we choose the next point as $x + \alpha u$, where u is a descent direction (i.e. $\nabla_f(x)^T u \leq 0$) and $\alpha > 0$.

The strategy of choosing α as $\operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha > 0} f(x + \alpha u)$, is called **exact line search**.

1 Quadratic function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx - d^T x$$

where Q is symmetric and positive definite.

$$\nabla_f(x) = Qx - d$$

$$H_f(x) = Q$$

Since the hessian is positive definite, f is convex. So a local minimum is also a global minimum.

Define $x^* = Q^{-1}d$ (Q^{-1} exists because Q is positive definite). We find that x^* is a local minimum because it satisfies the sufficient conditions for it.

$$f(x^*) = -\frac{1}{2}x^{*T} Qx^*$$

Although we have a closed form solution for x^* , this is sometimes not usable, since finding Q^{-1} takes $O(d^3)$ time, which can be too much if Q is large.

We will therefore explore descent-based methods to compute x^* .

2 Descent-based minimization of quadratic function

Let $u = \nabla_f(x) \neq 0$. Therefore, $u = Q(x - x^*)$.

Let $g(\alpha) = f(x - \alpha u)$.

$$g'(\alpha) = -u^T \nabla_f(x - \alpha u) = -u^T Q(x - \alpha u - x^*) = u^T (\alpha Qu - u)$$

Setting $g'(\alpha)$ to 0, we get

$$\alpha^* = \frac{\|u\|^2}{u^T Qu}$$

Since Q is positive definite, $\alpha^* > 0$.

$g''(\alpha) = u^T Q u > 0$, so α^* is a local minimum of g . Since $g''(\alpha) > 0$ for all α , g is convex, so α^* is a global minimum of g .

Apply Taylor series to find $f(x - \alpha^* u)$ around x ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x - \alpha^* u) &= f(x) + \nabla_f(x)^T (-\alpha^* u) + \frac{1}{2} (-\alpha^* u)^T H_f(x) (-\alpha^* u) \\ \implies f(x) - f(x - \alpha^* u) &= \alpha^* \nabla_f(x)^T u - \frac{(\alpha^*)^2}{2} u^T Q u \\ &= \left(\frac{\|u\|^2}{u^T Q u} \right) \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|u\|^2}{u^T Q u} \right)^2 u^T Q u \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|u\|^4}{u^T Q u} \end{aligned}$$

Apply Taylor series to find $f(x)$ around x^* ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x^*) + \nabla_f(x^*)^T (x - x^*) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x^*)^T H_f(x - x^*) \\ \implies f(x) - f(x^*) &= \frac{1}{2} (x - x^*)^T Q (x - x^*) = \frac{u^T Q^{-1} u}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Before we can analyze the convergence of a descent-based algorithm to minimize f , we must look at an important result – Kantorovich’s inequality.

Theorem 1 (Kantorovich’s inequality). *Let Q be a symmetric positive definite matrix. Let λ_1 and λ_d be its maximum and minimum eigenvalues respectively. Then*

$$\frac{\|u\|^4}{(u^T Q u)(u^T Q^{-1} u)} \geq \frac{4\lambda_1 \lambda_d}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_d)^2}$$

Let $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \alpha u$. Let $E(x) = f(x) - f(x^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{E(x^{(k+1)})}{E(x^{(k)})} \\ &= 1 - \frac{f(x^{(i)}) - f(x^{(i+1)})}{f(x^{(i)}) - f(x^*)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\|u\|^4}{(u^T Q u)(u^T Q^{-1} u)} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{4\lambda_1 \lambda_d}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_d)^2} \quad \text{(by Kantorovich’s inequality)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_d}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_d} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, E linearly converges to 0. We know that linear convergence is very fast, so this is a good descent method.