

Weighted Darboux Integrals

Eklavya Sharma

We modify the theory of Darboux integration to incorporate *weights*. This is similar to how the [Riemann-Stieltjes integral](#) is obtained by incorporating weights in the definition of Riemann integral.

1 Preliminaries

We assume that the domain of all functions is non-empty, i.e., if we write $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then we assume $X \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 1 (monotonic function). *A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is monotonic if $f(y) \leq f(z)$ for all $a \leq y < z \leq b$.*

1.1 Supremum and Infimum

For a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, if X does not have an upper bound, then $\sup(X) := \infty$, and if X does not have a lower bound, then $\inf(X) := -\infty$.

Lemma 1. *For any $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\sup_{x \in X} (f(x) + g(x)) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x) + \sup_{x \in X} g(x), \quad \inf_{x \in X} (f(x) + g(x)) \geq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \inf_{x \in X} g(x).$$

Lemma 2. *For any $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$,*

$$\sup_{x \in X} z f(x) = \begin{cases} z \sup_{x \in X} f(x) & \text{if } z > 0 \\ z \inf_{x \in X} f(x) & \text{if } z < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in X} f(x) + \sup_{y \in Y} g(y) = \sup_{x \in X, y \in Y} (f(x) + g(y)).$$

$$\inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \inf_{y \in Y} g(y) = \inf_{x \in X, y \in Y} (f(x) + g(y)).$$

Definition 2 (monotonic limits). *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic function. For $c \in (a, b]$, define $f^-(c) := \sup_{x \in (a, c)} f(x)$. For $c \in [a, b)$, define $f^+(c) := \inf_{x \in (c, b)} f(x)$.*

Lemma 4. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic function. Then for any $c \in [a, b)$, we have $(f^+)^+(c) = (f^-)^+(c) = f^+(c)$, and for any $c \in (a, b]$, we have $(f^-)^-(c) = (f^+)^-(c) = f^-(c)$.*

Proof. Let $g(x) := f^+(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$. Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. $f^+(c) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} f(x)$. Hence, $\exists z \in (c, b)$ such that $f(z) < f^+(c) + \epsilon$. Now $g(c) \leq g^+(c) \leq g((c+z)/2) = f^+((c+z)/2) \leq f(z) < f^+(c) + \epsilon = g(c) + \epsilon$. Since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $g^+(c) = g(c)$, so $(f^+)^+(c) = f^+(c)$.

Now let $g(x) := f^-(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b]$. $g^+(c) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} g(x) \leq \inf_{x \in (c, b)} f(x) = f^+(c)$. $g^+(c) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} g(x) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} f^-(x) \geq \inf_{x \in (c, b)} f((c+x)/2) = \inf_{x \in (c, (c+b)/2)} f(x) = f^+(c)$. Hence, $g^+(c) = f^+(c)$, so $(f^-)^+(c) = f^+(c)$.

We can similarly show that for any $c \in (a, b]$, we have $(f^-)^-(c) = (f^+)^-(c) = f^-(c)$. $\square$

1.2 Splitting Intervals

Lemma 5. Let $W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic and $a < b$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\mu := (1 - \delta)W^+(a) + \delta W^-(b)$. Then $\exists c \in (a, b)$ such that $W^-(c) \leq \mu$ and $W^+(c) \geq \mu$.

Proof. For all $x \in (a, b)$, we have $W^+(a) \leq W(x) \leq W^-(b)$. If $W^+(a) = W^-(b)$, then $W(x) = W^+(a) = W^-(b) = \mu$ for all $x \in (a, b)$. Hence, for $c = (a+b)/2$, we get $W^-(c) = W(c) = W^+(c) = \mu$. Now assume $W^+(a) < W^-(b)$. Then $W^+(a) < \mu < W^-(b)$.

Let $A := \{z \in (a, b) : W(z) < \mu\}$ and $B := \{z \in (a, b) : W(z) \geq \mu\}$. If A is empty, then $W(z) \geq \mu > W^+(a)$ for all $z \in (a, b)$, which contradicts the fact that $W^+(a) = \inf_{x \in (a, b)} W(x)$. Hence, $A \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, $B \neq \emptyset$.

Let $c := \inf(B)$. Then $(a, c) \subseteq A$ and $(c, b) \subseteq B$. Hence, $W^-(c) = \sup_{x \in (a, c)} W(x) \leq \mu$ and $W^+(c) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} W(x) \geq \mu$. $\square$

Lemma 6. Let $W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic and $a < b$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\mu := (1 - \delta)W^+(a) + \delta W^-(b)$. Then $\exists y, z \in (a, b)$ such that $W(y) \leq \mu$ and $W(z) \geq \mu$.

Proof. By Theorem 5, we get $c \in (a, b)$ such that $W^-(c) \leq \mu$ and $W^+(c) \geq \mu$. Pick $y := (a+c)/2$ and $z := (c+b)/2$. Then $\mu \geq W^-(c) = \sup_{x \in (a, c)} W(x) \geq W(y)$ and $\mu \leq W^+(c) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} W(x) \leq W(z)$. $\square$

Lemma 7. Let $W_1, \dots, W_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic and $a < b$. Then $\exists P^* = (x_0, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ such that $W_j^-(x_i) - W_j^+(x_{i-1}) \leq (W_j^-(b) - W_j^+(a))/2$ for all $j \in [k+1]$.

Proof sketch. For any partition $P = (y_0, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ and any $j \in [k]$, there exists at most one $i \in [n]$ such that $W_j^-(y_i) - W_j^+(y_{i-1}) > (W_j^-(b) - W_j^+(a))/2$. We call such an i a W_j -long index.

Let $P_0 = (a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. For all $j \in [k]$, construct P_j by finding a W_j -long index in P_{j-1} (if it exists) and splitting it into two using Theorem 5. If a W_j -long index doesn't exist, then set $P_j = P_{j-1}$. Then P_k is our P^* . $\square$

Lemma 8. Let $W_1, \dots, W_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic functions and $a < b$. Then for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ such that $n \leq (k+1)^{\lceil \log_2(1/\epsilon) \rceil} \leq (k+1)(1/\epsilon)^{\log_2(k+1)}$ and for all $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [k]$, we have $W_j^-(x_i) - W_j^+(x_{i-1}) \leq \epsilon(W_j^-(b) - W_j^+(a))$.

Proof sketch. Set $P_0 = (a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we now show how to construct P_t using P_{t-1} . Let $P_{t-1} = (y_0, \dots, y_m)$. For each $i \in [n]$, split each interval $[y_{i-1}, y_i]$

into a subpartition using Theorem 7. This gives us P_t , and P_t is a sequence of at most $1 + m(k+1)$ numbers. Hence, if $P_t = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$, then $n \leq (k+1)^t$ and for every $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [k]$, we get $W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1}) \leq 2^{-t}(W^-(b) - W^+(a))$. If we set $t = \lceil \log_2(1/\epsilon) \rceil$, then $2^{-t} \leq \epsilon$. $\square$

1.3 Uniform Continuity

Definition 3 (Uniform Continuity). *For $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in X$, if $|x - y| \leq \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$.*

Definition 4. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. f is γ -lipschitz if $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \gamma|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 9. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic function. The following are equivalent:

1. $f^-(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b]$ and $f^+(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b)$.
2. f is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Suppose f is uniformly continuous.

Pick any $z \in (a, b]$. Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. By f 's uniform continuity, $\exists 0 < \delta < z - a$ such that for all $a \leq x < y \leq b$, $|x - y| \leq \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$. Then $f(z) - f(z - \delta) \leq \epsilon$. Hence,

$$f(z) \geq f^-(z) = \sup_{y \in (a, z)} f(y) \geq f(z - \delta) \geq f(z) - \epsilon.$$

Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $f^-(z) = f(z)$.

Pick any $z \in [a, b)$. Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. By f 's uniform continuity, $\exists 0 < \delta < b - z$ such that for all $a \leq x < y \leq b$, $|x - y| \leq \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$. Then $f(z + \delta) - f(z) \leq \epsilon$. Hence,

$$f(z) \leq f^+(z) = \inf_{y \in (z, b)} f(y) \leq f(z + \delta) \geq f(z) + \epsilon.$$

Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $f^+(z) = f(z)$.

Now suppose $f^-(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b]$ and $f^+(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b)$. We want to show that f is uniformly continuous. If $f(a) = f(b)$, then $f(x) = f(a)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, since f is monotone. so uniform continuity trivially holds. Now assume $f(a) < f(b)$.

Pick $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq 2(f(b) - f(a))/\epsilon$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, define $y_i := f(a) + (f(b) - f(a))(i/n)$. Then $Q := (y_0, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{P}(f(a), f(b))$. Let $x_0 := a$ and $x_n := b$. For all $i \in [n-1]$, using Theorem 5, we can find x_i such that $f(x_i) = y_i$. Hence, $P := (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$.

Let $\delta := \min_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1})$. Suppose $a \leq y < z \leq b$ such that $z - y \leq \delta$. If $x_{i-1} \leq y < z \leq x_i$, then $f(z) - f(y) \leq f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) = y_i - y_{i-1} = (f(b) - f(a))/n \leq \epsilon/2$. Now suppose $y < x_i < z$. Then $x_{i-1} \leq y < x_i < z \leq x_{i+1}$, so $f(z) - f(y) \leq f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i-1}) = y_{i+1} - y_{i-1} = 2(f(b) - f(a))/n \leq \epsilon$. Hence, f is uniformly continuous. $\square$

2 Defining Weighted Darboux Integrals

Definition 5 (Weighted Darboux Integral). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \leq b$. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions where W is monotonic. Let $P := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$. Then the jump, lower, and upper weighted Darboux sums of f on P with weight W are denoted by $J_{f,W}(P)$, $L_{f,W}(P)$, and $U_{f,W}(P)$, respectively. If $a = b$, then $P = (a)$ and $J_{f,W}(P) = L_{f,W}(P) = U_{f,W}(P) = 0$. Otherwise,*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{f,W}(P) &:= f(x_0)(W^+(x_0) - W(x_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i)(W^+(x_i) - W^-(x_i)) \\ &\quad + f(x_n)(W(x_n) - W^-(x_n)), \\ L_{f,W}(P) &:= J_{f,W}(P) + \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) \right), \\ U_{f,W}(P) &:= J_{f,W}(P) + \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(a, b) := \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}, a = x_0 < \dots < x_n = b\}$. $\mathcal{P}(a, b)$ is called the set of partitions of $[a, b]$. The lower and upper weighted Darboux integrals of f with weight W are

$$L_{f,W}(a, b) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} L_{f,W}(P) \qquad U_{f,W}(a, b) := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} U_{f,W}(P)$$

If $L_{f,W}(a, b) = U_{f,W}(a, b)$, then f is said to be W -Darboux integrable on $[a, b]$, and we denote $L_{f,W}(a, b)$ and $U_{f,W}(a, b)$ by $\int_a^b f(x) dW(x)$.

When W is the identity function, we write L_f and U_f instead of $L_{f,W}$ and $U_{f,W}$, respectively. If $L_f(a, b) = U_f(a, b)$, we denote them by $\int_a^b f(x) dx$.

3 Properties of Darboux Sums

Definition 6 (concatenation). *For any $P := (x_0, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{P}(a, c)$ and $Q := (y_0, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{P}(c, b)$, define $P \mid Q := (x_0, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ to be the concatenation of P and Q .*

Lemma 10. *Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, c)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}(c, b)$. Then $L_{f,W}(P \mid Q) = L_{f,W}(P) + L_{f,W}(Q)$ and $U_{f,W}(P \mid Q) = U_{f,W}(P) + U_{f,W}(Q)$.*

Proof. Let $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ and $Q = (y_0, \dots, y_m)$. For $i \in [m+n] \setminus [n]$ define $x_i := y_{i-n}$.

Then $P \mid Q = (x_0, \dots, x_{m+n})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{f,W}(P) + J_{f,W}(Q) &= f(x_0)(W^+(x_0) - W(x_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i)(W^+(x_i) - W^-(x_i)) \\
&\quad + f(x_n)(W(x_n) - W^-(x_n)) + f(y_0)(W^+(y_0) - W(y_0)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f(y_i)(W^+(y_i) - W^-(y_i)) + f(y_m)(W^+(y_m) - W^-(y_m)) \\
&= f(x_0)(W^+(x_0) - W(x_0)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m+n-1} f(x_i)(W^+(x_i) - W^-(x_i)) \\
&\quad + f(x_{m+n-1})(W(x_{m+n}) - W^-(x_{m+n})) \\
&= J_{f,W}(P \mid Q)
\end{aligned}$$

It's easy to see that $L_{f,W}(P \mid Q) - J_{f,W}(P \mid Q) = (L_{f,W}(P) - J_{f,W}(P)) + (L_{f,W}(Q) - J_{f,W}(Q))$, so $L_{f,W}(P \mid Q) = L_{f,W}(P) + L_{f,W}(Q)$. Similarly, $U_{f,W}(P \mid Q) = U_{f,W}(P) + U_{f,W}(Q)$. $\square$

Lemma 11. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Let

$$\alpha := \inf_{x \in (a, b)} f(x), \quad \beta := \sup_{x \in (a, b)} f(x).$$

For any $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(a)(W^+(a) - W(a)) + \alpha(W^-(b) - W^+(a)) + f(b)(W(b) - W^-(b)) \\
&\leq L_{f,W}(P) \leq U_{f,W}(P) \\
&f(a)(W^+(a) - W(a)) + \beta(W^-(b) - W^+(a)) + f(b)(W(b) - W^-(b)).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (Trivial) $\square$

Lemma 12. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Let $\alpha \leq f(x) \leq \beta$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Then for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we get $\alpha(W(b) - W(a)) \leq L_{f,W}(P) \leq U_{f,W}(P) \leq \beta(W(b) - W(a))$.

Proof. A trivial corollary of Theorem 11. $\square$

Definition 7 (Refinement). Let $P_1 := (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ and $P_2 := (y_0, \dots, y_m) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Then P_2 is a refinement of P_1 if for every i , there exists j such that $x_i = y_j$.

Lemma 13. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ such that Q is a refinement of P . Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Then $L_{f,W}(P) \leq L_{f,W}(Q) \leq U_{f,W}(Q) \leq U_{f,W}(P)$.

Proof. Let $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ and $Q = (y_0, \dots, y_m)$. Since Q is a refinement of P , we get that $m \geq n$ and there is a sequence $(i_0, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{P}(0, m)$ such that $x_j = y_{i_j}$.

For $j \in [n]$, define $P_j := (x_{j-1}, x_j)$ and $Q_j := (y_k)_{k=i_{j-1}}^{i_j}$. Then we get $L_{f,W}(P_j) \leq L_{f,W}(Q_j) \leq U_{f,W}(Q_j) \leq U_{f,W}(P_j)$ using Theorem 11. Sum these inequalities over $j \in [n]$ and use Theorem 10 to get $L_{f,W}(P) \leq L_{f,W}(Q) \leq U_{f,W}(Q) \leq U_{f,W}(P)$. $\square$

Lemma 14. Let $f, g, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic and let $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Then $L_{f+g,W}(P) \geq L_{f,W}(P) + L_{g,W}(P)$ and $U_{f+g,W}(P) \leq U_{f,W}(P) + U_{g,W}(P)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $J_{f+g,W}(P) = J_{f,W}(P) + J_{g,W}(P)$. Using Theorem 1, we get $L_{f+g,W}(P) - J_{f+g,W}(P) \geq (L_{f,W}(P) - J_{f,W}(P)) + (L_{g,W}(P) - J_{g,W}(P))$, and $U_{f+g,W}(P) - J_{f+g,W}(P) \leq (U_{f,W}(P) - J_{f,W}(P)) + (U_{g,W}(P) - J_{g,W}(P))$. $\square$

Lemma 15. *Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, W be monotonic, $z \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, and $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Then*

$$L_{zf,W}(P) = \begin{cases} zL_{f,W}(P) & \text{if } z > 0 \\ zU_{f,W}(P) & \text{if } z < 0 \end{cases}, \quad U_{zf,W}(P) = \begin{cases} zU_{f,W}(P) & \text{if } z > 0 \\ zL_{f,W}(P) & \text{if } z < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2. $\square$

4 Properties of Darboux Integrals

Lemma 16. *Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Then*

$$U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} (U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P)) \geq 0.$$

Proof. For any $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we have $U_{f,W}(a, b) \leq U_{f,W}(P)$ and $L_{f,W}(a, b) \geq L_{f,W}(P)$. Hence, $U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b) \leq U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P) \geq 0$. Since this is true for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we get $U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b) \leq \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} (U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P)) \geq 0$.

Let $\alpha := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} (U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P))$. Let $\beta := U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b)$. We want to show that $\beta \geq \alpha$. Assume $\beta < \alpha$. Let $0 < \epsilon < (\alpha - \beta)/2$. Then $\exists P_U \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $U_{f,W}(P_U) < U_{f,W}(a, b) + \epsilon$ and $\exists P_L \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $L_{f,W}(P_L) > L_{f,W}(a, b) - \epsilon$. Hence, $U_{f,W}(P_U) - L_{f,W}(P_L) \leq \beta + 2\epsilon < \alpha$.

Let $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a merger of P_L and P_U , i.e., for all i , $x_i \in P_L \cup P_U$. Then P is a refinement of both P_L and P_U . By Theorem 13, $U_{f,W}(P) \leq U_{f,W}(P_U)$ and $L_{f,W}(P_L) \leq L_{f,W}(P)$, so $U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P) < U_{f,W}(P_U) - L_{f,W}(P_L) < \alpha$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\beta \geq \alpha$, which implies

$$U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} (U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P)).$$

$\square$

Lemma 17. *Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, W be monotonic, and $a \leq c \leq b$. Then $L_{f,W}(a, b) = L_{f,W}(a, c) + L_{f,W}(c, b)$ and $U_{f,W}(a, b) = U_{f,W}(a, c) + U_{f,W}(c, b)$.*

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(a, c)$ such that $L_{f,W}(P_1) > L_{f,W}(a, c) - \epsilon$ and $\exists P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(c, b)$ such that $L_{f,W}(P_2) > L_{f,W}(c, b) - \epsilon$. Using Theorem 10, we get $L_{f,W}(a, b) \geq L_{f,W}(P_1 \mid P_2) > L_{f,W}(a, c) + L_{f,W}(c, b) - 2\epsilon$. By picking ϵ arbitrarily small, we get $L_{f,W}(a, b) \geq L_{f,W}(a, c) + L_{f,W}(c, b)$.

For any $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, there exists a refinement $\hat{P}$ of P such that $c \in \hat{P}$. Then $\hat{P} = P_1 \mid P_2$ for some $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(a, c)$ and $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(c, b)$. By Theorem 13, we get $L_{f,W}(P) \leq L_{f,W}(\hat{P}) = L_{f,W}(P_1) + L_{f,W}(P_2) \leq L_{f,W}(a, c) + L_{f,W}(c, b)$. Since this is true for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we get $L_{f,W}(a, b) \leq L_{f,W}(a, c) + L_{f,W}(c, b)$.

Hence, $L_{f,W}(a, b) = L_{f,W}(a, c) + L_{f,W}(c, b)$. We can similarly prove that $U_{f,W}(a, b) = U_{f,W}(a, c) + U_{f,W}(c, b)$. $\square$

Lemma 18. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Let

$$\alpha := \inf_{x \in (a, b)} f(x), \quad \beta := \sup_{x \in (a, b)} f(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(a)(W^+(a) - W(a)) + \alpha(W^-(b) - W^+(a)) + f(b)(W(b) - W^-(b)) \\ & \leq L_{f,W}(a, b) \leq U_{f,W}(a, b) \\ & f(a)(W^+(a) - W(a)) + \beta(W^-(b) - W^+(a)) + f(b)(W(b) - W^-(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 11. □

Lemma 19. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Let α and β be lower and upper bounds, respectively, on f . Then $(W(b) - W(a))\alpha \leq L_{f,W}(a, b) \leq U_{f,W}(a, b) \leq (W(b) - W(a))\beta$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 12. □

Lemma 20. Let $f, g, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and W be monotonic. Then $L_{f+g,W}(a, b) \geq L_{f,W}(a, b) + L_{g,W}(a, b)$ and $U_{f+g,W}(a, b) \leq U_{f,W}(a, b) + U_{g,W}(a, b)$.

Proof. Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ be the merger of P_1 and P_2 , i.e., $x \in P$ iff $x \in P_1 \cup P_2$. Then P is a refinement of P_1 and P_2 . Using Theorems 13 and 14, we get $L_{f+g,W}(a, b) \geq L_{f+g,W}(P) \geq L_{f,W}(P) + L_{g,W}(P) \geq L_{f,W}(P_1) + L_{g,W}(P_2)$. By taking suprema over P_1 and P_2 , we get $L_{f+g,W}(a, b) \geq L_{f,W}(a, b) + L_{g,W}(a, b)$. We can similarly prove $U_{f+g,W}(a, b) \leq U_{f,W}(a, b) + U_{g,W}(a, b)$. □

Lemma 21. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, W be monotonic, and $z \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. Then

$$L_{zf,W}(a, b) = \begin{cases} zL_{f,W}(a, b) & \text{if } z > 0 \\ zU_{f,W}(a, b) & \text{if } z < 0 \end{cases}, \quad U_{zf,W}(a, b) = \begin{cases} zU_{f,W}(a, b) & \text{if } z > 0 \\ zL_{f,W}(a, b) & \text{if } z < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Take supremum over P in Theorem 15. □

5 Conditions for Integrability

Lemma 22. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where W is strictly monotone (i.e., $a \leq x < y \leq b$ implies $W(x) < W(y)$). If f has no upper-bound, then $U_{f,W}(a, b) = \infty$. If f has no lower-bound, then $L_{f,W}(a, b) = -\infty$.

Proof sketch. Let $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Then

$$\sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x) = \max \left(\max_{i=0}^n f(x_i), \max_{i=1}^n \sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) \right).$$

If f is unbounded, one of the above must be ∞ , which would make the corresponding term in $U_{f,W}(P)$ infinity. Since this is true for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we get $U_{f,W}(a, b) = \infty$.

We can similarly prove that $L_{f,W}(a, b) = -\infty$ if f has no lower bound. □

Lemma 23. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotone functions. Then $L_{f,W}(a, b) = U_{f,W}(a, b)$.

Proof. By Theorem 19, we get $(W(b) - W(a))f(a) \leq L_{f,W}(a, b) \leq U_{f,W}(a, b) \leq (W(b) - W(a))f(b)$. Then $L_{f,W}(a, b) = U_{f,W}(a, b)$ if $f(a) = f(b)$ or $W(a) = W(b)$. Hence, assume $W(a) < W(b)$ and $f(a) < f(b)$.

Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. By Theorem 8, there exists $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ such that $W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1}) \leq \epsilon(W^-(b) - W^+(a)) \leq \epsilon(W(b) - W(a))$ for all $i \in [n]$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) - \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})). \\ &\leq \epsilon(W(b) - W(a)) \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})). \\ &= \epsilon(W(b) - W(a)) (f(b) - f(a)). \end{aligned}$$

By picking an arbitrarily small ϵ , using Theorem 16, we get

$$U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} (U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P)) = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 24. Let $f, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where W is monotone and f is uniformly continuous. Then $L_{f,W}(a, b) = U_{f,W}(a, b)$.

Proof. Pick $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that for all $a \leq x < y \leq b$, $y - x \leq \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$.

Use Theorem 8 to construct $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ such that for all $i \in [n]$, we have $x_i - x_{i-1} \leq \delta$. Then for all $i \in [n]$ and $x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$, we have $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$. By Theorems 2 and 3, we get

$$\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) - \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) = \sup_{x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) - f(y) \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) - \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \epsilon \\ &\leq \epsilon(W^-(b) - W^+(a)). \end{aligned}$$

By making ϵ arbitrarily small and using Theorem 16, we get

$$U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} (U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P)) = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 25. Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ and $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is γ -lipschitz. Let $W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic. Let $h = f \cdot g$ be the composition of f and g . Then $U_{h,W}(a, b) - L_{h,W}(a, b) \leq \gamma(U_{g,W}(a, b) - L_{g,W}(a, b))$, and for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we get $U_{h,W}(P) - L_{h,W}(P) \leq \gamma(U_{g,W}(P) - L_{g,W}(P))$.

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{h,W}(a, b) - L_{h,W}(a, b) &\leq U_{h,W}(P) - L_{h,W}(P) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} h(x) - \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} h(x) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \sup_{x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} |f(g(x)) - f(g(y))| \\
&\quad \text{(using Theorems 2 and 3)} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \sup_{x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} \gamma |g(x) - g(y)| \\
&= \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} g(x) - \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} g(x) \right) \\
&\quad \text{(using Theorems 2 and 3)} \\
&= \gamma(U_{g,W}(P) - L_{g,W}(P)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$U_{h,W}(a, b) - L_{h,W}(a, b) \leq \gamma \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)} (U_{g,W}(P) - L_{g,W}(P)).$$

Using Theorems 2 and 3, we get

$$U_{g,W}(a, b) - L_{g,W}(a, b) = \inf_P U_{g,W}(P) - \sup_P L_{g,W}(P) = \inf_P (U_{g,W}(P) - L_{g,W}(P)).$$

Hence, $U_{h,W}(a, b) - L_{h,W}(a, b) \leq \gamma(U_{g,W}(a, b) - L_{g,W}(a, b))$. $\square$

Lemma 26. Let $W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [-c, c]$ and $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [-d, d]$, where $c \geq 0$ and $d \geq 0$. Let $h(x) := f(x)g(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Then $U_{h,W}(a, b) - L_{h,W}(a, b) = c(U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b)) + d(U_{g,W}(a, b) - L_{g,W}(a, b))$.

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{h,W}(a, b) - L_{h,W}(a, b) &\leq U_{h,W}(P) - L_{h,W}(P) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} h(x) - \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} h(x) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \sup_{x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} (f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)) \\
&\quad \text{(using Theorems 2 and 3)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \sup_{x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} (g(x)(f(x) - f(y)) + f(y)(g(x) - g(y))) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \left(\sup_{x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} c|f(x) - f(y)| + \sup_{x, y \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} d|g(x) - g(y)| \right) \\
&\quad \text{(by Theorem 1)} \\
&= c(U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P)) + d(U_{g,W}(P) - L_{g,W}(P)).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorems 2 and 3, we get

$$U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b) = \inf_P U_{f,W}(P) - \sup_P L_{f,W}(P) = \inf_P (U_{f,W}(P) - L_{f,W}(P))$$

and

$$U_{g,W}(a, b) - L_{g,W}(a, b) = \inf_P U_{g,W}(P) - \sup_P L_{g,W}(P) = \inf_P (U_{g,W}(P) - L_{g,W}(P)).$$

Hence, we get $U_{h,W}(a, b) - L_{h,W}(a, b) = c(U_{f,W}(a, b) - L_{f,W}(a, b)) + d(U_{g,W}(a, b) - L_{g,W}(a, b))$. $\square$

6 Integration By Parts

Lemma 27. Let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic functions. Let $P := (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Let $K := \sum_{i=1}^n [(f(x_i) - f^-(x_i))(g(x_i) - g^-(x_i)) - (f^+(x_{i-1}) - f(x_{i-1}))(g^+(x_{i-1}) - g(x_{i-1}))]$. Then $L_{f,g}(P) + U_{g,f}(P) = U_{f,g}(P) + L_{g,f}(P) = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) + K$.

Proof sketch. We prove this for the special case of $P = (a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. The general result follows from the special case by applying Theorem 10.

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{f,g}(P) + U_{g,f}(P) \\
&= f(a)(g^+(a) - g(a)) + f^+(a)(g^-(b) - g^+(a)) + f(b)(g(b) - g^-(b)) \\
&\quad + g(a)(f^+(a) - f(a)) + g^-(b)(f^-(b) - f^+(a)) + g(b)(f(b) - f^-(b)) \\
&= f(a)g^+(a) - f(a)g(a) - f^+(a)g^+(a) + f(b)g(b) - f(b)g^-(b) \\
&\quad + f^+(a)g(a) - f(a)g(a) + f^-(b)g^-(b) + f(b)g(b) - f^-(b)g(b) \\
&= f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - (f^+(a) - f(a))(g^+(a) - g(a)) + (f(b) - f^-(b))(g(b) - g^-(b)) \\
&= f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) + K.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that K is symmetric in f and g . Exchange f and g in the above result to get that $U_{f,g}(P) + L_{g,f}(P) = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) + K$. $\square$

Lemma 28. *Let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic functions. (Then $\int_a^b f(x)dg(x)$ and $\int_a^b g(x)df(x)$ exist by Theorem 23.) If either f or g is uniformly continuous, then $\int_a^b f(x)dg(x) + \int_a^b g(x)df(x) = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a)$.*

Proof. Pick any $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. Since either f or g is uniformly continuous, K (as defined in Theorem 27) is 0 by Theorem 9. Let $\alpha := f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a)$. Hence, $L_{f,g}(P) + U_{g,f}(P) = U_{f,g}(P) + L_{g,f}(P) = \alpha$.

Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. We can find P_1 and P_2 such that $L_{f,g}(a, b) - \epsilon \leq L_{f,g}(P_1) \leq L_{f,g}(a, b)$ and $U_{g,f}(a, b) \leq U_{g,f}(P_2) \leq U_{g,f}(a, b) + \epsilon$. Let $P := P_1 \cup P_2$. Then P is a refinement of P_1 and P_2 , so $L_{f,g}(P) \leq L_{f,g}(a, b) \leq L_{f,g}(P) + \epsilon$ and $U_{g,f}(P) - \epsilon \leq U_{g,f}(a, b) \leq U_{g,f}(P)$. On adding the two equations, we get $\alpha - \epsilon \leq L_{f,g}(a, b) + U_{g,f}(a, b) \leq \alpha + \epsilon$. Since this holds true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $L_{f,g}(a, b) + U_{g,f}(a, b) = \alpha$.

Swap f and g in the result above to get $U_{f,g}(a, b) + L_{g,f}(a, b) = \alpha$. $\square$

7 Integrals over Infinitesimal Intervals

Lemma 29. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, M]$. Let $W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic. For $x \in [a, b]$, let $\ell(x) := L_{f,W}(a, x)$ and $u(x) := U_{f,W}(a, x)$. (Then ℓ and u are monotonic by Theorem 17 and non-negativity of f .) Then for any $c \in [a, b]$, $\ell^+(c) - \ell(c) = u^+(c) - u(c) = f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c))$, and for any $c \in (a, b]$, $\ell(c) - \ell^-(c) = u(c) - u^-(c) = f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c))$.*

Proof. Let $c \in [a, b)$. For any $x \in (c, b)$, using Theorem 18, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{f,W}(c, x) \\ & \geq f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c)) + \left(\inf_{z \in (c, x]} f(z) \right) (W(x) - W^+(c)) \\ & \geq f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\inf_{x \in (c, b)} L_{f,W}(c, x) \geq f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c))$. Now pick any $\epsilon > 0$. Using Theorem 6, we can pick $y \in (c, b)$ such that $W(y) - W^+(c) \leq \epsilon(W^-(b) - W^+(c))$. Using Theorem 18,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{x \in (c, b)} U_{f,W}(c, x) \leq U_{f,W}(c, y) \\ & \leq f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c)) + \left(\sup_{z \in (c, y]} f(z) \right) (W(y) - W^+(c)) \\ & \leq f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c)) + M\epsilon(W^-(b) - W^+(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $\inf_{x \in (c, b)} U_{f,W}(c, x) \leq f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c))$. Hence, $\inf_{x \in (c, b)} L_{f,W}(c, x) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} U_{f,W}(c, x) = f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c))$. So,

$$\ell^+(c) - \ell(c) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} (\ell(x) - \ell(c)) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} L_{f,W}(c, x) = f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c)).$$

$$u^+(c) - u(c) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} (u(x) - u(c)) = \inf_{x \in (c, b)} U_{f,W}(c, x) = f(c)(W^+(c) - W(c)).$$

Let $c \in (a, b]$. For any $x \in (a, c)$, using Theorem 18, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{f,W}(x, c) \\ & \geq \left(\inf_{z \in [x, c]} f(z) \right) (W^-(c) - W(x)) + f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c)) \\ & \geq f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\inf_{x \in (a, c)} L_{f,W}(x, c) \geq f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c))$. Now pick any $\epsilon > 0$. Using Theorem 6, we can pick $y \in (a, c)$ such that $W^-(c) - W(y) \leq \epsilon(W^-(c) - W^+(a))$. Using Theorem 18,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{x \in (a, c)} U_{f,W}(x, c) \leq U_{f,W}(y, c) \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{z \in [y, c]} f(z) \right) (W^-(c) - W(y)) + f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c)) \\ & \leq f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c)) + M\epsilon(W^-(c) - W^+(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $\inf_{x \in (a, c)} U_{f,W}(x, c) \leq f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c))$. Hence, $\inf_{x \in (a, c)} L_{f,W}(x, c) = \inf_{x \in (a, c)} U_{f,W}(x, c) = f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c))$. So,

$$\ell(c) - \ell^-(c) = \inf_{x \in (a, c)} (\ell(c) - \ell(x)) = \inf_{x \in (a, c)} L_{f,W}(x, c) = f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c)).$$

$$u(c) - u^-(c) = \inf_{x \in (a, c)} (u(c) - u(x)) = \inf_{x \in (a, c)} U_{f,W}(x, c) = f(c)(W(c) - W^-(c)).$$

□

8 Double Integration

Lemma 30. Let $V, W : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotonic functions and V be right continuous, i.e., $V^+(x) = V(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, M]$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $L_{f,V}(a, b) = U_{f,V}(a, b)$. Let $r : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, where $r(x) := \int_a^x f(y) dV(y)$. (Then r is monotonic by f 's non-negativity, so r is W -integrable over $[a, b]$.)

Let $h(x) := f(x)(W(b) - W^-(x))$ for all $x \in (a, b]$, and let $h(a) := f(a)(W(b) - W(a))$. (Then h is V -integrable over $[a, b]$ by Theorem 26, since f is V -integrable and W is non-increasing.) Then $\int_a^b r(x) dW(x) = \int_a^b h(x) dV(x)$.

Proof. Suppose $W(b) = W(a)$. Then $W(x) = W(a) = W(b)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Hence, $\int_a^b r(x) dW(x) = 0$. Also, $h(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, so $\int_a^b h(x) dV(x) = 0$. Now assume $W(b) > W(a)$.

Pick any $P := (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$. For $i \in [n]$, define $P_i := (x_0, \dots, x_i) \in \mathcal{P}(a, x_i)$. Then for any $i \in [n]$, we get $L_{f,V}(P_i) \leq r(x_i) \leq U_{f,V}(P_i)$. Also, $r(a) = \int_a^a f(y) dV(y) = 0$. For $i \in [n]$, let $\alpha_i := \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x)$ and $\beta_i := \sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{f,V}(P_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^i f(x_i)(V(x_j) - V^-(x_j)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})), \\ U_{f,V}(P_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^i f(x_i)(V(x_j) - V^-(x_j)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Part 1: $L_{h,V}(a, b) \leq L_{r,W}(a, b)$

By Theorem 29, $r^+(x) = r(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{r,W}(a, b) &\geq L_{r,W}(P) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n r(x_i)(W^-(x_{i+1}) - W^-(x_i)) \\
&\quad (W^-(x_{n+1}) := W(b) \text{ for notational convenience}) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n L_{f,V}(P_i)(W^-(x_{i+1}) - W^-(x_i)) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n (W(b) - W^-(x_j))(f(x_j)(V(x_j) - V^-(x_j)) + \alpha_j(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1}))) \\
&:= K_L(P).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will upper-bound $L_{h,V}(P)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} h(x) \\
&= \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x)(W(b) - W^-(x)) \\
&\leq \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} \beta_i(W(b) - W^-(x)) \\
&= \beta_i \left(W(b) - \sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} W^-(x) \right) \\
&= \beta_i (W(b) - (W^-)^-(x_i)) \\
&= \beta_i (W(b) - W^-(x_i)) \quad (\text{by Theorem 4})
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{h,V}(P) &= \sum_{j=1}^n h(x_j)(V(x_j) - V^-(x_j)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\inf_{x \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)} h(x) \right) (V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)(W(b) - W^-(x_i))(V(x_i) - V^-(x_i)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j(W(b) - W^-(x_j))(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&= K_L(P) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\beta_j - \alpha_j)(W(b) - W^-(x_j))(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&\leq K_L(P) + (W(b) - W(a)) \sum_{j=1}^n (\beta_j - \alpha_j)(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&= K_L(P) + (W(b) - W(a))(U_{f,V}(P) - L_{f,V}(P)). \\
&\leq L_{r,W}(a, b) + (W(b) - W(a))(U_{f,V}(P) - L_{f,V}(P)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we have

$$L_{h,V}(P) \leq L_{r,W}(a, b) + (W(b) - W(a))(U_{f,V}(P) - L_{f,V}(P)).$$

Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\exists Q_1 \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ such that $L_{h,V}(Q_1) > L_{h,V}(a, b) - \epsilon$. Since f is V -integrable, by Theorem 16, $\exists Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$ such that $U_{f,V}(Q_2) - L_{f,V}(Q_2) < \epsilon/(W(b) - W(a))$. Let $Q := Q_1 \cup Q_2$. Then Q is a refinement of Q_1 and Q_2 , so by Theorem 13, we get $L_{h,V}(Q) \geq L_{h,V}(Q_1) > L_{h,V}(a, b) - \epsilon$ and $U_{f,V}(Q) - L_{f,V}(Q) \leq U_{f,V}(Q_2) - L_{f,V}(Q_2) < \epsilon/(W(b) - W(a))$. Hence, $L_{h,V}(a, b) - \epsilon \leq L_{h,V}(Q) \leq L_{r,W}(a, b) + (U_{f,V}(Q) - L_{f,V}(Q))(W(b) - W(a)) \leq L_{r,W}(a, b) + \epsilon$. Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $L_{h,V}(a, b) \leq L_{r,W}(a, b)$.

Part 1: $U_{h,V}(a, b) \geq U_{r,W}(a, b)$

By Theorem 29, $r^-(x) = r(x) - f(x)(V(x) - V^-(x))$ for all $x > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{r,W}(a, b) &\leq U_{r,W}(P) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n r(x_i)(W^+(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)(V(x_i) - V^-(x_i))(W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad (W^+(x_n) := W(b) \text{ for notational convenience}) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n U_{f,V}(P_i)(W^+(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)(V(x_i) - V^-(x_i))(W^-(x_i) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j)(V(x_j) - V^-(x_j))(W(b) - W^-(x_j)) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1}))(W(b) - W^+(x_{j-1})) \\
&:= K_U(P).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} h(x) \\
&= \sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} f(x)(W(b) - W^-(x)) \\
&\geq \sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} \alpha_i(W(b) - W^-(x)) \\
&= \alpha_i \left(W(b) - \inf_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} W^-(x) \right) \\
&= \alpha_i (W(b) - (W^-)^+(x_{i-1})) \\
&= \alpha_i (W(b) - W^+(x_{i-1})) \qquad\qquad\qquad (\text{by Theorem 4})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{h,V}(P) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n h(x_j)(V(x_j) - V^-(x_j)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sup_{x \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)} h(x) \right) (V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j)(W(b) - W^-(x_j))(V(x_j) - V^-(x_j)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(W(b) - W^+(x_{j-1}))(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&= K_U(P) - \sum_{j=1}^n (\beta_j - \alpha_j)(W(b) - W^+(x_{j-1}))(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&\geq K_U(P) - (W(b) - W(a)) \sum_{j=1}^n (\beta_j - \alpha_j)(V^-(x_j) - V(x_{j-1})) \\
&= K_U(P) - (W(b) - W(a))(U_{f,V}(P) - L_{f,V}(P)). \\
&\geq U_{r,W}(a, b) - (W(b) - W(a))(U_{f,V}(P) - L_{f,V}(P)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we have

$$U_{h,V}(P) \geq U_{r,W}(a, b) - (W(b) - W(a))(U_{f,V}(P) - L_{f,V}(P)).$$

Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is V -integrable, using Theorems 13 and 16, we get that for some $Q \in \mathcal{P}(a, b)$, we have $U_{h,V}(Q) < U_{h,V}(a, b) + \epsilon$ and $U_{f,V}(Q) - L_{f,V}(Q) < \epsilon/(W(b) - W(a))$. Hence, $U_{h,V}(a, b) + \epsilon > U_{h,V}(Q) \geq U_{r,W}(a, b) - (W(b) - W(a))(U_{f,V}(P) - L_{f,V}(P)) \geq U_{r,W}(a, b) - \epsilon$. Hence, $U_{h,V}(a, b) \geq U_{r,W}(a, b) - 2\epsilon$. Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get $U_{h,V}(a, b) \geq U_{r,W}(a, b)$.

Combining parts 1 and 2, and using the fact that r is W -integrable and h is V -integrable, we get that $\int_a^b r(x)dW(x) = \int_a^b h(y)dV(y)$. $\square$

9 Rate Sandwich Lemma

Lemma 31 (Rate Sandwich Lemma). *Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions where f is monotone. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $f(y) \leq \frac{g(z) - g(y)}{z - y} \leq f(z)$ for all $0 \leq y < z$.
2. $\int_0^t f(x)dx = g(t) - g(0)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\int_0^t f(x)dx = g(t) - g(0)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then for any $0 \leq y < z$, using Theorems 17, 19 and 23, we get

$$g(z) - g(y) = \int_y^z f(x)dx \in [f(y)(z - y), f(z)(z - y)].$$

Now suppose

$$f(z) \geq \frac{g(z) - g(y)}{z - y} \geq f(y)$$

for all $0 \leq y < z$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $P := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}(0, t)$. For any $i \in [n]$, we get

$$f(x_{i-1}) \leq \frac{g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \leq f(x_i).$$

Then the lower Darboux sum is

$$L_f(P) := \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) f(x_{i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})) = g(t) - g(0),$$

and the upper Darboux sum is

$$U_f(P) := \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) f(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})) = g(t) - g(0).$$

Hence, $L_f(P) \leq g(t) - g(0) \leq U_f(P)$. Hence, $L_f(0, t) \leq g(t) - g(0) \leq U_f(0, t)$. By Theorem 23, $U_f(0, t) = L_f(0, t)$, so we get $\int_0^t f(x) dx = g(t) - g(0)$. $\square$