# 2 – Perfectly Secret Encryption

#### Eklavya Sharma

Random number generation: Requires high-entropy data and a process for creating unbiased independent bits from it.

#### Contents



## <span id="page-0-0"></span>1 Formal definitions of security

There are multiple definitions of security.

Let  $\Pi = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}, \mathsf{Gen}, e, d)$  be the encryption scheme under consideration. We will consider ciphertext-only attack by an adversary with unbounded computational power. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}, K \in \mathcal{K}, C \in \mathcal{C}$  be the random variables corresponding to the message, key and ciphertext.

Definition 1.  $\Pi$  is secure iff

 $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, (P[C = c] > 0 \implies P[M = m | C = c] = P[M = m])$ 

Definition 2.  $\Pi$  is secure iff

 $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \forall m' \in \mathcal{M}, \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, P[C = c | M = m] = P[C = c | M = m']$ 

Definition 3 (Perfect indistinguishability). The adversarial indistinguishability experiment PrivK<sup>eav</sup><sub>A,Π</sub>:

- The adversary A outputs a pair of messages  $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- A key k is generated using Gen, and a uniform bit  $b \in \{0,1\}$  is chosen. Ciphertext  $c = e_k(m_b)$ , called the challenge ciphertext, is computed and given to A.
- $\bullet$  A outputs a bit  $b'$ .
- The output of the experiment is defined as

$$
PrivK_{A,\Pi}^{\text{eav}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b' = b \\ 0 & \text{if } b' = b \end{cases}
$$

 $\Pi$  is perfectly indistinguishable iff  $P[PrivK_{A,\Pi}^{eav} = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Theorem 1. All of the above definitions of security are equivalent.

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2 One-time Pad

The one-time pad is the encryption scheme where:

- $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^l$ .
- Gen chooses a key uniformly randomly from  $K$ .
- $e_k(x) = d_k(x) = x \oplus k$ .

**Theorem 2.** The one-time pad is a perfectly secure encryption scheme.

### <span id="page-1-1"></span>3 Limitations of perfect security

**Theorem 3.**  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}, \mathsf{Gen}, e, d)$  is perfectly secure  $\implies |\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ .

*Hint.* Consider  $\mathcal{M}(c) = \{d_k(c) : k \in \mathcal{K}\}\$ . Show that  $|\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}(c)| > 0$ .  $\Box$ 

### <span id="page-1-2"></span>4 Shannon's theorem

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\Pi = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}, \mathsf{Gen}, e, d)$  and  $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{K}| = |\mathcal{C}|$ .  $\Pi$  is a perfectly secure encryption scheme iff both of the following are true:

- Gen chooses every key with equal probability  $1/|\mathcal{K}|$ .
- $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \forall c \in \mathcal{C}, |\{k : e_k(m) = c\}| = 1.$