Bounds on Sorting

Eklavya Sharma

Abstract

This document analyzes lower and upper bounds on the worst-case number of comparisons required for sorting an array of n elements. This is done for both sorting in general and for specific algorithms.

Contents

Gen	eral lower bound	2
Spe	cific algorithms	2
2.1	Insertion sort	2
2.2	Insertion sort with binary search	2
2.3	Merge sort	2
2.4	Heapsort	2
2.5	Randomized quicksort	3
	Gen 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	General lower bound Specific algorithms 2.1 Insertion sort

1 General lower bound

By the decision tree model of computing, we get a lower bound of $\lceil \lg(n!) \rceil$ on the number of comparisons in the worst case.

By Stirling's approximation, we get

$$\lg(n!) = n \lg n - (\lg e)n + \frac{1}{2} \lg n + \lg \sqrt{2\pi} + (\lg e) \left[\frac{1}{12n+1}, \frac{1}{12n}\right]$$

 $(\lg e \approx 1.4427 \text{ and } \lg \sqrt{2\pi} \approx 1.3257)$

2 Specific algorithms

2.1 Insertion sort

In the worst case, insertion sort performs $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ comparisons.

2.2 Insertion sort with binary search

Binary searching an array of size n takes $\lfloor \lg n \rfloor + 1$ comparisons. (Solve the recurrence $f(1) = 1 \wedge f(n) = f\left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\right) + 1$)

Therefore, number of comparisons is

$$(n-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lfloor \lg i \rfloor \le (n-1) + \lfloor \lg((n-1)!) \rfloor$$

2.3 Merge sort

Merging 2 sorted arrays of size m and n can be done in at most m + n - 1 comparisons. In the worst case, merge sort performs f(n) comparisons, where f(0) = f(1) = 0 and $f(n) = f\left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\right) + f\left(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil\right) + (n - 1)$.

The solution to this recurrence is [2]

$$f(n) = n(\lfloor \lg n \rfloor + 1) - 2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor + 1} + 1 \in n \lfloor \lg n \rfloor - [0, n - 1]$$

This is O(n) higher than the decision-tree lower bound.

2.4 Heapsort

With a binary heap, total number of comparisons for heapsort

$$\leq 2(n-1+\lfloor \lg((n-1)!) \rfloor) \leq 2n \lg n - 2(\lg e - 1)n - \lg n + \lg \pi - \frac{5}{6}$$

See [1] for the algorithm and analysis.

2.5 Randomized quicksort

Partitioning an array of size n about a pivot can be done in n-1 comparisons.

Let f(n) be the expected number of comparisons required for randomized quicksort. Therefore, f(0) = f(1) = 0 and

$$f(n) = (n-1) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(i-1) + f(n-i))$$

Using the integration bound for the sum of a decreasing function:

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} f(i) \in \left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx\right) + [f(b), f(a)]$$

we get $H(n) \in \ln n + \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1\right]$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} f(n) &= 2((n+1)H(n) - 2n) \leq 2n \ln n - 2n + 2H(n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\lg e}\right) n \lg n - 2n + 2H(n) \end{split}$$

Since, $\frac{2}{\lg e} \approx 1.3863$, randomized quicksort takes approximately 1.3863 times the number of comparisons by the decision-tree lower bound.

References

- [1] Eklavya Sharma. Notes: Heaps. URL: https://sharmaeklavya2.github.io/ notes/algorithms/heaps.pdf.
- [2] Eklavya Sharma. Notes: Recurrence relations. URL: https://sharmaeklavya2.github.io/notes/math/recurrences.pdf.